arXiv:1406.4954v1 [quant-ph] 19 Jun 2014

INDECOMPOSABILITY OF ENTANGLEMENT WITNESSES CONSTRUCTED FROM ANY PERMUTATIONS

XIAOFEI QI AND JINCHUAN HOU

Abstract. Let $n \geq 2$ and $\Phi_{n,t,\pi} : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linear map defined by $\Phi_{n,t,\pi}(A) = (n-t)\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{ii}AE_{ii} + t\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{i,\pi(i)}AE_{i,\pi(i)}^{\dagger} - A$, where $0 \leq t \leq n$, E_{ij}s are the matrix units and π is a non-identity permutation of $(1, 2, \cdots, n)$. Denote by $\{F_s : s = 1, 2 \ldots, k\}$ the set of all minimal cycles of π and $l(\pi) = \max\{\#F_s : s = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ the length of π. It is shown that the Hermitian matrix $W_{n,t,\pi}$ induced by $\Phi_{n,t,\pi}$ is an indecomposable entanglement witness if and only if $\pi^2 \neq \mathrm{id}$ (the identity permutation) and $0 < t \leq \frac{n}{l(\pi)}$. Some new bounded entangled states are detected by such witnesses that cannot be distinguished by PPT criterion, realignment criterion, etc..

1. Introduction

Entanglement is an important physical resource to realize various quantum information and quantum communication tasks such as teleportation, dense coding, quantum cryptography and key distribution [16, 17]. One of the most important topics in the theory of entanglement is how to distinguish entangled states from separable states. Entanglement witnesses provide one of the best known methods of entanglement detection in bipartite composite quantum systems (see [10]).

Let H and K be finite dimensional complex Hilbert spaces. A Hermitian matirx $W \in \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$ is an entanglement witness (briefly, EW) if W is not positive and $\mathrm{Tr}(W\sigma) \geq 0$ holds for all separable states $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(H \otimes K)$. It is well-known that if ρ is an entangled state, then there is some EW W such that $\mathrm{Tr}(W\rho) < 0$ (that is, the entanglement in ρ can be detected by W) [10]. However there is no universal EW W so that every entangled state can be detected by W. Therefore constructing as many as possible EWs is important to detect entanglement in states. There was a considerable effort in constructing and analyzing the structure of EWs [1, 4, 12, 14, 21].

Due to the Choi-Jamiołkowski isomorphism [3, 15], a Hermitian matrix $W \in \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$ is an EW if and only if there exists a positive linear map which is not completely positive (NCP, briefly) $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \to \mathcal{B}(K)$ and a maximally entangled state $P^+ \in \mathcal{B}(H \otimes H)$ such

PACS. 03.67.Mn, 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Db.

Key words and phrases. Entanglement witnesses, positive linear maps, decomposability, bounded entangled states.

This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11171249,11101250) and Youth Foundation of Shanxi Province (2012021004).

that $W = W_\Phi = (I_n \otimes \Phi)P^+$. Recall that a maximally entangled state is a pure state $P^+ = |\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+|$ with $|\psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}(|11\rangle + |22\rangle + \cdots |nn\rangle)$, where $n = \dim H$ and $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis of H. Thus, up to a multiple by positive scalar, W_Φ can be written as the matrix $W_\Phi = (\Phi(E_{ij}))_{n\times n}$, where $E_{ij} = |i\rangle\langle j|$. For a positive linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(H) \to \mathcal{B}(K)$, we always denote W_Φ the Choi-Jamiołkowski matrix of Φ with respect to a given basis of H, that is $W_\Phi = (\Phi(E_{ij}))_{n\times n}$, and we say that W_Φ is the EW induced by the NCP positive map Φ. Conversely, for an EW W, we denote by Φ_W the associated NCP positive map so that $W = W_{\Phi_W}$.

Recall that an EW W is called decomposable if $W = Q_1 + Q_2^\Gamma$ for some operators $Q_1, Q_2 \geq 0$, where Q_2^Γ stands for any one of $Q_2^{T_1}$ and $Q_2^{T_2}$, the partial transpose of Q_2 with respect to the subsystems H and K, respectively. Otherwise, W is called indecomposable. Similarly, a positive map Δ is said to be decomposable if it is the sum of a completely positive map Δ_1 and the composition of a completely positive map Δ_2 and the transpose $\mathbf{T}$, i.e., $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 \circ \mathbf{T}$. It is clear that W_Φ is decomposable if and only if Φ is decomposable. Note that decomposable EWs cannot detect PPT (positive partial transpose) entangled states and, therefore, such EWs are useless in search of bound entangled state. Unfortunately, there is no general method to construct indecomposable EWs and only very few examples of indecomposable EWs are available in the literature [5, 8, 9]. In this paper we develop a way to construct indecomposable entanglement witnesses from any permutation π with $\pi^2 \neq$id.

Let π be a permutation of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$. For a subset F of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, if $\pi(F) = F$, we say F is an invariant subset of π. Let F be an invariant subset of π. If $G \subseteq F$ and G is invariant under π imply $G = F$, we say F is a minimal invariant subset of π. It is obvious that a minimal invariant subset is a loop of π and $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\} = \cup_{s=1}^k F_s$, where $\{F_s\}_{s=1}^k$ is the set of all disjoint minimal invariant subsets of π. Denote by $\#F_s$ the cardinal number of F_s. Then $\sum_{s=1}^k \#F_s = n$. $l(\pi) = \max\{\#F_s : s = 1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ is called the length of π. In the case that $l(\pi) = n$, π is called cyclic. So every permutation π of $(1, \ldots, n)$ has a disjoint cyclic decomposition $\pi = (\pi_1)(\pi_2)\cdots(\pi_k)$, that is, there exists a set $\{F_s\}_{s=1}^k$ of disjoint cycles of π with $\cup_{s=1}^k F_s = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\pi_s = \pi|_{F_s}$ and $\pi(i) = \pi_s(i)$ whenever $i \in F_s$.

If $\dim H = n$, by fixing an orthonormal basis, one may identify $\mathcal{B}(H)$ with $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the $n \times n$ complex matrix algebra. For any non-identity permutation π of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$, let $\Phi_{n,t,\pi} : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a linear map defined by

$$\Phi_{n,t,\pi}(A) = (n-t)\sum_{i=1}^n E_{ii}AE_{ii} + t\sum_{i=1}^n E_{i,\pi(i)}AE_{i,\pi(i)}^\dagger - A, \tag{1.1}$$

where $0 \leq t \leq n$, E_{ij}s are the matrix units, that is, E_{ij} is the matrix with (i, j)-entry 1 and other entries 0, and π is a non-identity permutation of $(1, 2, \cdots, n)$.

According to [20, Proposition 6.2], $\Phi_{n,t,\pi}$ is a NCP positive map if and only if $0 < t \leq \frac{n}{l(\pi)}$. Hence $W_{n,t,\pi} = W_{\Phi_{n,t,\pi}}$ induced by $\Phi_{n,t,\pi}$ is an EW if and only if $0 < t \leq \frac{n}{l(\pi)}$. It is also shown that $\Phi_{n,1,\pi}$ is a decomposable NCP positive map if π is a non-identity permutation of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ with $\pi^2 =$id [20, Proposition 7.2]. This result implies that $W_{n,1,\pi}$ is a decomposable EW if $\pi^2 = \mathrm{id}$.

In this paper, we will first prove in Section 2 that the condition $\pi^2 = \mathrm{id}$ is in fact a necessary and sufficient condition for the decomposability of entanglement witnesses $W_{n,t,\pi}$ for any $0 < t \leq \frac{n}{l(\pi)}$ (Theorem 2.1). Thus, we obtain a new and large class of indecomposable entanglement witnesses $W_{n,t,\pi}$ constructed from any permutations π with $\pi^2 \neq \mathrm{id}$. To check the indecomposability of $W_{n,t,\pi}$ where $\pi^2 \neq \mathrm{id}$, we construct some new bound entangled states which can be detected by $W_{n,t,\pi}$. Section 3 is devoted to comparing our EWs $W_{n,t,\pi}$ with other separability criteria and show that there are entangled states that can be detected by $W_{n,t,\pi}$ but can not be detected by PPT criterion, realignment criterion and an inequality criterion that even stronger than the realignment criterion. In Section 4, a short conclusion is given.

2. Necessary and sufficient condition for $W_{n,t,\pi}$ to be indecomposable

In this section we discuss the question: when $W_{n,t,\pi}$ is indecomposable? The following is our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let π be a non-identity permutation of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ with $n \geq 2$, and $0 < t \leq \frac{n}{l(\pi)}$. The entanglement witness $W_{n,t,\pi}$ is indecomposable if and only if $\pi^2 \neq \mathrm{id}$.*

We need a simple lemma, which is a slight generalization of [18, Proposition 2.6].

Lemma 2.2. *Let*

$$B_{(t_1,t_2\cdots,t_n)} = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & t_2 & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdots & t_n \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

If $0 \leq t_i \leq n-1$ for each $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and there exists at least one $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ such that $t_{i_0} < n-1$, then $B_{(t_1,t_2\cdots,t_n)} \ngeq 0$.

Proof. Let $|\psi_+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} |i\rangle$. Then

$$B_{(t_1,t_2\cdots,t_n)} = n(I_n - |\psi_+\rangle\langle\psi_+|) - \mathrm{diag}(n-1-t_1, n-1-t_2, \cdots, n-1-t_n).$$

Take $\{|\psi_+\rangle, |\phi_1\rangle, \cdots, |\phi_{n-1}\rangle\}$ as another orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. We have $|i_0\rangle = \alpha|\psi_+\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i|\phi_i\rangle$ for scalars $\alpha, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{n-1}$. It is easily seen that $\alpha = \langle\psi_+|i_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. So $|i_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}|\psi_+\rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i|\phi_i\rangle$, and hence $|i_0\rangle\langle i_0| = \frac{1}{n}|\psi_+\rangle\langle\psi_+| + A$, where $A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (|\psi_+\rangle\langle\phi_i| +$

$|\phi_i\rangle\langle\psi_+|) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i\bar{\alpha}_j|\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_j|$. Thus, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} B_{(t_1,t_2\cdots,t_n)} \leq & \ n(I_n - |\psi_+\rangle\langle\psi_+|) - (n-1-t_{i_0})|i_0\rangle\langle i_0| \\ = & \ n(I_n - |\psi_+\rangle\langle\psi_+|) - \tfrac{n-1-t_{i_0}}{n}|\psi_+\rangle\langle\psi_+| - (n-1-t_{i_0})A = B. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, under the space decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = [|\psi_+\rangle] \oplus [|\psi_+\rangle]^\perp$,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{n-1-t_{i_0}}{n} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is not positive semi-definite obviously. It follows that $B_{(t_1,t_2\cdots,t_n)} \ngeq 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. To check the "only if" part, assume $\pi^2 = \mathrm{id}$. Let F be the set of fixed points of π. Since $\Phi_{n,t,\pi}(E_{ii}) = (n-t-1)E_{ii} + tE_{\pi(i),\pi(i)}$ and $\Phi_{n,t,\pi}(E_{ij}) = -E_{ij}$ $(i \neq j)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{n,t,\pi} = & \ \textstyle\sum_{i=1}^n (n-1-t)E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^n tE_{\pi(i),\pi(i)} \otimes E_{ii} - \sum_{i\neq j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} \\ = & \ \textstyle\sum_{i\in F}(n-1)E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i\notin F}(n-1-t)E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} \\ & - \textstyle\sum_{i\neq j;\pi(i)\neq j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} + \sum_{i\notin F} tE_{\pi(i),\pi(i)} \otimes E_{ii} - \sum_{i\notin F} E_{i,\pi(i)} \otimes E_{i,\pi(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 = & \ \textstyle\sum_{i\in F}(n-1)E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} + \sum_{i\notin F}(n-1-t)E_{ii} \otimes E_{ii} \\ & - \textstyle\sum_{i\neq j;\pi(i)\neq j} E_{ij} \otimes E_{ij} - \sum_{i\notin F}(1-t)E_{i,\pi(i)} \otimes E_{i,\pi(i)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q_2 = \sum_{i\notin F} tE_{\pi(i),\pi(i)} \otimes E_{ii} - \sum_{i\notin F} tE_{i,\pi(i)} \otimes E_{i,\pi(i)}.$$

Since $\pi^2 = \mathrm{id}$, the cardinal number of F^c must be even. Thus we have

$$Q_2 = \sum_{i<\pi(i)} (tE_{\pi(i),\pi(i)} \otimes E_{ii} + tE_{ii} \otimes E_{\pi(i),\pi(i)} - tE_{i,\pi(i)} \otimes E_{i,\pi(i)} - tE_{\pi(i),i} \otimes E_{\pi(i),i}).$$

As

$$Q_2^{\mathrm{T}_2} = \sum_{i<\pi(i)} (tE_{\pi(i),\pi(i)} \otimes E_{ii} + tE_{ii} \otimes E_{\pi(i),\pi(i)} - tE_{i,\pi(i)} \otimes E_{\pi(i),i} - tE_{\pi(i),i} \otimes E_{i,\pi(i)}) \geq 0,$$

we see that Q_2 is PPT. Observe that $Q_1 \cong B \oplus 0 \neq 0$, where $B = (b_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a Hermitian matrix satisfying $b_{ii} = n-1$ or $n-1-t$, $b_{ij} = t-1$ or -1 so that $\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} = 0$ for each i. It is easily seen from the strictly diagonal dominance theorem (Ref. [13, Theorem 6.1.10]) that B is semi-definite. So $Q_1 \neq 0$ is positive semi-definite. Hence $W_{n,t,\pi} = Q_1 + Q_2$ is decomposable, completing the proof for the "only if" part.

Next we check the "if" part, that is, we need to show that $\pi^2 \neq \mathrm{id}$ implies that $W_{n,t,\pi}$ is indecomposable. Write $\pi = (\pi_1)(\pi_2)\cdots(\pi_k)$ with $\pi_s(F_s) = F_s$ and $l(\pi_s) = l_s$, $s = 1, 2, \cdots, k$. It is clear that $\pi^2 \neq \mathrm{id}$ if and only if $l = l(\pi) \geq 3$.

If $l = n$, then $F_1 = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ and π is a cyclic permutation. By [18, 19], we know that $W_{n,t,\pi}$ is indecomposable.

Now assume $3 \le l < n$. Without loss of generality, assume $l = l_1 \ge l_2 \ge \cdots \ge l_k$ and $l_{m+1} = \cdots = l_k = 1$ for $1 \le m \le k$.

Let $|\omega\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n |i\rangle$. Define $\rho_0 = |\omega\rangle\langle\omega|$,

$$\rho_{sj} = \frac{1}{l_s} \sum_{i \in F_s} |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |\pi_s^j(i)\rangle\langle \pi_s^j(i)|, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, l_s - 1; \ s = 1, 2, \cdots, m$$

and

$$\tilde{\rho} = \frac{1}{\sum_{s=1}^m l_s(n - l_s)} \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{i \in F_s; j \notin F_s} |j\rangle\langle j| \otimes |i\rangle\langle i|.$$

Let

$$\rho = q_0 \rho_0 + \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{l_s - 1} q_{sj} \rho_{sj} + \tilde{q}\tilde{\rho} \quad \text{with} \quad q_0 + \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{l_s-1} q_{sj} + \tilde{q} = 1.$$

For such ρ, it can be checked that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\Phi_{n,t,\pi} \otimes I)(\rho) \\
\cong \ & A \oplus (\tfrac{n-1-t}{l_1} q_{1,l_1-1} + \tfrac{t}{l_1} q_{1,l_1-2}) I_{l_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus (\tfrac{n-1-t}{l_1} q_{1,2} + \tfrac{t}{l_1} q_{1,1}) I_{l_1} \oplus (\tfrac{n-1-t}{l_1} q_{1,1} + \tfrac{t}{n} q_0) I_{l_1} \\
& \oplus \cdots\cdots \\
& \oplus (\tfrac{n-1-t}{l_k} q_{k,l_k-1} + \tfrac{t}{l_k} q_{k,l_k-2}) I_{l_k} \oplus \cdots \oplus (\tfrac{n-1-t}{l_k} q_{k,2} + \tfrac{t}{l_k} q_{k,1}) I_{l_k} \oplus (\tfrac{n-1-t}{l_k} q_{k,1} + \tfrac{t}{n} q_0) I_{l_k} \\
& \oplus \tfrac{\tilde{q}}{\sum_{s=1}^k l_s(n-l_s)} I_{\sum_{s=1}^k l_s(n-l_s)},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix}
t_1 & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} \\
-\frac{q_0}{n} & t_1 & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & t_1 & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} \\
-\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & t_2 & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & t_2 & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & t_m & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & t_m & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} \\
-\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \frac{(n-1)q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
-\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & -\frac{q_0}{n} & -\frac{q_0}{n} & \cdots & \frac{(n-1)q_0}{n}
\end{pmatrix}$$

with $t_i = \frac{n-1-t}{n} q_0 + \frac{t}{l_i} q_{i,l_i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we get that $A \ngeq 0$ if $\frac{n-1-t}{n} q_0 + \frac{t}{l_i} q_{i,l_i-1} \le \frac{n-1}{n} q_0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$ and there exists at least one i_0 such that $\frac{n-1-t}{n} q_0 + \frac{t}{l_{i_0}} q_{i_0,l_{i_0}-1} < \frac{n-1}{n} q_0$. It follows that, if

$$q_{i,l_i-1} \le \frac{l_i}{n} q_0, \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, m \text{ and at least one } i_0 \text{ such that } q_{i_0,l_{i_0}-1} < \frac{l_{i_0}}{n} q_0, \tag{2.1}$$

then $(\Phi_{n,t,\pi}\otimes I)(\rho)$ is not positive, and hence, the state ρ is entangled (the positive map criterion in [10, 11]).

Note that ρ is PPT if and only if the following two conditions hold:

$$q_{s,l_s-i}q_{s,i}\geq\frac{l_s^2}{n^2}q_0^2,\quad i=1,2,\cdots,l_s-1;\quad s=1,2,\cdots,m \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\tilde{q}\geq\frac{\sum_{s=1}^m l_s(n-l_s)}{n}q_0. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, we can choose q_0, q_{sj} and $\tilde{q}$ so that Eqs.(2.1)-(2.3) hold simultaneously. For example, take $\tilde{q}=\frac{\sum_{s=1}^m l_s(n-l_s)}{n}q_0$, and for $i\in\{1,2,\cdots,m\}$, if l_i is even, take

$$q_{i,1}=q_{i,2}=\cdots=q_{i,\frac{l_i}{2}-1}=q_0,\quad q_{i,\frac{l_i}{2}}=\frac{l_i}{n},\quad q_{i,\frac{l_i}{2}+1}=\cdots=q_{i,l_i-1}=\frac{l_i^2}{n^2}q_0;$$

if l_i is odd, take

$$q_{i,1}=q_{i,2}=\cdots=q_{i,\frac{l_i-1}{2}}=q_0,\quad q_{i,\frac{l_i-1}{2}+1}=\cdots=q_{i,l_i-1}=\frac{l_i^2}{n^2}q_0.$$

Such q_0, q_{sj} $(s=1,2,\cdots,m,\ j=1,2,\cdots,l_s-1)$ and $\tilde{q}$ satisfy Eqs.(2.1)-(2.3). It follows that ρ is PPT entangled which can be recognized by $\Phi_{n,t,\pi}$. Hence, $\Phi_{n,t,\pi}$ is not decomposable, and consequently, $W_{n,t,\pi}$ is indecomposable.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

To illustrate the structure of the bounded entangled states constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.1 to show that $W_{n,t,\pi}$ is indecomposable whenever $\pi^2\neq$id, we give two examples in cases $n=4$ and $n=5$.

Example 2.3. Let $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=1}^4$ be any orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^4$. Let π be the permutation of $(1,2,3,4)$ defined by $\pi(1)=2$, $\pi(2)=3$, $\pi(3)=1$ and $\pi(4)=4$. Then $\pi^2\neq$id and $l=l(\pi)=3$. For such π, the state ρ in the proof of Theorem 2.1 is constructed as follows.

Let $|\omega\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^4|i\rangle$. Define $\rho_0=|\omega\rangle\langle\omega|$ and $\rho_{11}=\frac{1}{3}(|1\rangle\langle1|\otimes|2\rangle\langle2|+|2\rangle\langle2|\otimes|3\rangle\langle3|+|3\rangle\langle3|\otimes|1\rangle\langle1|)$, $\rho_{12}=\frac{1}{3}(|1\rangle\langle1|\otimes|3\rangle\langle3|+|2\rangle\langle2|\otimes|1\rangle\langle1|+|3\rangle\langle3|\otimes|2\rangle\langle2|)$ and $\tilde{\rho}=\frac{1}{6}(\sum_{i=1}^3|i\rangle\langle i|\otimes|4\rangle\langle4|+\sum_{j=1}^3|4\rangle\langle4|\otimes|j\rangle\langle j|)$. Let $\rho=q_0\rho_0+q_{11}\rho_{11}+q_{12}\rho_{12}+\tilde{q}\tilde{\rho}$, where $q_0,q_{11},q_{12},\tilde{q}\geq0$ and $q_0+q_{11}+q_{12}+\tilde{q}=1$.

For such ρ, it is easily checked that

$$(\Phi_{4,t,\pi}\otimes I)(\rho)\cong A\oplus(\frac{3-t}{4}q_{12}+\frac{t}{3}q_{11})I_3\oplus(\frac{3-t}{3}q_{11}+\frac{t}{4}q_0)I_3\oplus\frac{1}{2}q_4I_6,$$

where

$$A=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{3-t}{4}q_0+\frac{t}{3}q_{12} & -\frac{q_0}{4} & -\frac{q_0}{4} & -\frac{q_0}{4}\\ -\frac{q_0}{4} & \frac{3-t}{4}q_0+\frac{t}{3}q_{12} & -\frac{q_0}{4} & -\frac{q_0}{4}\\ -\frac{q_0}{4} & -\frac{q_0}{4} & \frac{3-t}{4}q_0+\frac{t}{3}q_{12} & -\frac{q_0}{4}\\ -\frac{q_0}{4} & -\frac{q_0}{4} & -\frac{q_0}{4} & \frac{3}{4}q_0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we get that $A\ngeq0$ if $q_{12}<\frac{3}{4}q_0$. So $(\Phi_{4,t,\pi}\otimes I)(\rho)$ is not positive and ρ is entangled if $q_{12}<\frac{3}{4}q_0$. Note that ρ is PPT if and only if $\tilde{q}\geq\frac{3}{2}q_0$ and $q_{11}q_{12}\geq\frac{9}{16}q_0^2$.

Take $q_0 = q_{11} = \frac{16}{65}$, $\tilde{q} = \frac{3}{2}q_0 = \frac{24}{65}$ and $q_{12} = \frac{9}{16}q_0 = \frac{9}{65}$. Then ρ is PPT entangled which can be recognized by $\Phi_{4,t,\pi}$. Hence, $\Phi_{4,t,\pi}$ is not decomposable, and consequently, $W_{4,t,\pi}$ is indecomposable.

Example 2.4. Let $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=1}^5$ be any orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^5$. Let π be the permutation of $(1,2,3,4,5)$ defined by $\pi(1) = 2$, $\pi(2) = 1$, $\pi(3) = 4$, $\pi(4) = 5$ and $\pi(5) = 3$. Clearly, $\pi^2 \neq$id and $l = l(\pi) = 3$. For such π, we construct ρ as follows.

Let $|\omega\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\sum_{i=1}^5 |i\rangle$. Define $\rho_0 = |\omega\rangle\langle\omega|$ and $\rho_{11} = \frac{1}{2}(|1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes |2\rangle\langle 2| + |2\rangle\langle 2| \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1|)$, $\rho_{21} = \frac{1}{3}(|3\rangle\langle 3| \otimes |4\rangle\langle 4| + |4\rangle\langle 4| \otimes |5\rangle\langle 5| + |5\rangle\langle 5| \otimes |3\rangle\langle 3|)$, $\rho_{22} = \frac{1}{3}(|3\rangle\langle 3| \otimes |5\rangle\langle 5| + |4\rangle\langle 4| \otimes |3\rangle\langle 3| + |5\rangle\langle 5| \otimes |4\rangle\langle 4|)$ and $\tilde{\rho} = \frac{1}{12}(\sum_{i=3}^5\sum_{j=1}^2 |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |j\rangle\langle j| + \sum_{j=3}^5\sum_{i=1}^2 |i\rangle\langle i| \otimes |j\rangle\langle j|)$. Let $\rho = q_0\rho_0 + q_{11}\rho_{11} + q_{21}\rho_{21} + q_{22}\rho_{22} + \tilde{q}\tilde{\rho}$, where $q_0, q_{11}, q_{21}, q_{22}, \tilde{q} \geq 0$ and $q_0 + q_{11} + q_{21} + q_{22} + \tilde{q} = 1$. For such ρ, it is easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi_{5,t,\pi} \otimes I)(\rho) \\ \cong \quad & A \oplus (\tfrac{4-t}{2}q_{11} + \tfrac{t}{5}q_0)I_2 \oplus (\tfrac{4-t}{3}q_{22} + \tfrac{t}{3}q_2)I_3 \oplus \tfrac{4-t}{3}q_{21} + \tfrac{t}{5}q_0)I_3 \oplus \tfrac{\tilde{q}}{3}I_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4-t}{5}q_0 + \frac{t}{2}q_{11} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} \\ -\frac{q_0}{5} & \frac{4-t}{5}q_0 + \frac{t}{2}q_{11} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} \\ -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & \frac{4-t}{5}q_0 + \frac{t}{3}q_{22} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} \\ -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & \frac{4-t}{5}q_0 + \frac{t}{3}q_{22} & -\frac{q_0}{5} \\ -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & -\frac{q_0}{5} & \frac{4-t}{5}q_0 + \frac{t}{3}q_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we get that $A \ngeq 0$ if either $q_{11} \leq \frac{2}{5}q_0$ and $q_{22} < \frac{3}{5}q_0$; or $q_{11} < \frac{2}{5}q_0$ and $q_{22} \leq \frac{3}{5}q_0$. So ρ is entangled if either $q_{11} \leq \frac{2}{5}q_0$ and $q_{22} < \frac{3}{5}q_0$; or $q_{11} < \frac{2}{5}q_0$ and $q_{22} \leq \frac{3}{5}q_0$. Note that ρ is PPT if and only if $q_{11} \geq \frac{2}{5}q_0$, $\tilde{q} \geq \frac{12}{5}q_0$ and $q_{21}q_{22} \geq \frac{9}{25}q_0^2$. By taking $q_0 = q_{21} = \frac{25}{129}$, $q_{11} = \frac{2}{5}q_0 = \frac{10}{129}$, $q_{22} = \frac{9}{25}q_0 = \frac{9}{129}$ and $\tilde{q} = \frac{12}{5}q_0 = \frac{60}{129}$, we get that ρ is PPT entangled which can be recognized by $\Phi_{5,t,\pi}$. Hence, $\Phi_{5,t,\pi}$ is not decomposable, and consequently, $W_{5,t,\pi}$ is indecomposable.

From Theorem 2.1, the following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 2.5. *Let π be a permutation of $(1,2,\ldots,n)$ with $n \geq 2$ and $\pi \neq$ id. Let $\Phi_{n,t,\pi} : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the positive map defined in Eq.(1.1) with $0 < t \leq \frac{n}{l(\pi)}$. Then, $\Phi_{n,t,\pi}$ is decomposable if and only if $\pi^2 =$ id.*

3. Comparison with some other entanglement criteria

The entanglement witnesses $W_{n,t,\pi}$ constructed in this paper can detect some entangled states that cannot be detected by PPT criterion, as demonstrated in Example 2.3 and Example 2.4. In this section, we will show by examples that such entanglement witnesses $W_{n,t,\pi}$ can also detect some entangled states that cannot be detected by the realignment criterion.

Example 3.1. Let us consider the state ρ in Example 2.3. Take $q_{11} = \tilde{q} = 10q_0$ and $q_{12} = xq_0$ for $x \geq 0$. Then $q_0 = \frac{1}{x+21}$ and the state ρ becomes ρ_x as below:

$$\rho_x = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc|cccc}
\frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} \\
0 & \frac{xq_0}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{10q_0}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{10q_0}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{10q_0}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{xq_0}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{10q_0}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{xq_0}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{10q_0}{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{10q_0}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{10q_0}{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{10q_0}{6} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{10q_0}{6} & 0 \\
\frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{q_0}{4}
\end{array}\right).$$

By Theorem 2.1 and Example 2.3, we know that ρ_x is entangled which can be recognized by $W_{4,t,\pi}$ if $x \in [0, \frac{3}{4})$ where $\pi : (1,2,3,4) \to (2,3,1,4)$. However, by Example 2.3, the entanglement in ρ_x can be detected by PPT criterion only for $x \in [0, \frac{9}{160})$.

Now, let us apply the realignment criterion (Ref. [2, 7]) to ρ_x. By a computation, for all $x \in [0, 2]$, the trace norm of the realignment $R(\rho_x)$ of ρ_x is

$$\|R(\rho_x)\|_1 = \frac{36 + 2\sqrt{16x^2 - 172x + 1489} + \sqrt{y_+} + \sqrt{y_-}}{12(21 + x)} < 0.8 < 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_\pm = \ & 8x^2 + 172x + 2129 \\ & \pm\sqrt{(16x^2 + 172x + 1320)(172x + 520) + 300(8x + 92)^2 + (8x^2 + 400)^2} \end{aligned}$$

(Ref. Figure 1). It follows that the entanglement in ρ_x for $x \in [0, \frac{3}{4})$ can be detected by $W_{4,t,\pi}$ but cannot be distinguished by the realignment criterion.

In [6, 22], the authors proved that, if $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H_A \otimes H_B)$ is separable, then

$$\|R(\rho - \rho_A \otimes \rho_B)\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} \leq \sqrt{[1 - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_A^2)][1 - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_B^2)]}, \tag{3.1}$$

where ρ_A and ρ_B are the reduced states with respect to subsystems A and B, respectively. Thus, if ρ breaks the inequality (3.1), then ρ is entangled. Furthermore, the inequality (3.1) provides a stronger criterion than the realignment criterion.



FIGURE 1. y-axis denotes the value $\|R(\rho_x)\|_1$. Red and blue lines correspond respectively to the functions $x = \frac{3}{4}$ and $y = \|R(\rho_x)\|_1$.

Here, we will show by an example that our $W_{n,t,\pi}$ can also detect some entangled states that cannot be detected by the inequality (3.1).

Example 3.2. Take ρ_x and $W_{4,t,\pi}$ as in Example 3.1. Then we have

$$\rho_{x,A} = \rho_{x,B} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4x+63}{12}q_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{4x+63}{12}q_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{4x+63}{12}q_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{21}{4}q_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easily checked that

$$1 - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{x,A}^2) = 1 - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{x,B}^2) = \frac{32x^2 + 1512x + 15876}{48(x+21)^2}$$

and thus

$$\sqrt{[1 - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_A^2)][1 - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_B^2)]} = 1 - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{x,A}^2).$$

Since the realignment of $\rho_x - \rho_{x,A} \otimes \rho_{x,B}$ is

$$R(\rho_x - \rho_{x,A} \otimes \rho_{x,B}) \cong \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4}q_0 - u & \frac{x}{3}q_0 - u & \frac{10}{3}q_0 - u & \frac{10}{6}q_0 - v \\ \frac{10}{3}q_0 - u & \frac{1}{4}q_0 - u & \frac{x}{3}q_0 - u & \frac{10}{6}q_0 - v \\ \frac{x}{3}q_0 - u & \frac{10}{3}q_0 - u & \frac{1}{4}q_0 - u & \frac{10}{6}q_0 - v \\ \frac{10}{6}q_0 - v & \frac{10}{6}q_0 - v & \frac{10}{6}q_0 - v & \frac{1}{4}q_0 - w \end{pmatrix} \oplus \frac{1}{4}q_0 I_{12},$$

where $u = (\frac{4x+63}{12})^2 q_0^2$, $v = \frac{7(4x+63)}{16}q_0^2$ and $w = \frac{441}{16}q_0^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(\rho_x - \rho_{x,A} \otimes \rho_{x,B})\|_1 = \; & 2\sqrt{b-a} + \sqrt{\frac{2a+b+d+\sqrt{4a^2+4ab+(b-d)^2+12c^2-4ad}}{2}} \\ & + \sqrt{\frac{2a+b+d-\sqrt{4a^2+4ab+(b-d)^2+12c^2-4ad}}{2}} + 3q_0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a = (\frac{1}{4}q_0 - u)(\frac{x}{3}q_0 - u) + (\frac{1}{4}q_0 - u)(\frac{10}{3}q_0 - u) + (\frac{x}{3}q_0 - u)(\frac{10}{3}q_0 - u)^2 + (\frac{10}{6}q_0 - v)^2,$$

$$b = (\frac{1}{4}q_0 - u)^2 + (\frac{x}{3}q_0 - u)^2 + (\frac{10}{3}q_0 - u)^2 + (\frac{10}{6}q_0 - v)^2,$$

$$c = (\frac{1}{4}q_0 + \frac{x}{3}q_0 + \frac{10}{3}q_0 - 3u)(\frac{10}{6}q_0 - v) + (\frac{10}{6}q_0 - v)(\frac{1}{4}q_0 - w)$$

and

$$d = 3(\frac{10}{6}q_0 - v)^2 + (\frac{1}{4}q_0 - w)^2.$$

By a computation, we get

$$y = f(x) = \|R(\rho_x - \rho_{x,A} \otimes \rho_{x,B})\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} - (1 - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{x,A}^2)) < -0.2 < 0$$

for $x \in [0, 2]$ as shown by Figure 2, which implies that the entanglement in ρ_x for $x \in [0, \frac{3}{4})$ cannot be distinguished by the inequality (3.1).



FIGURE 2. y-axis denotes the value of $\|R(\rho_x - \rho_{x,A} \otimes \rho_{x,B})\|_{\mathrm{Tr}} - (1 - \mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{x,A}^2))$. Red and blue lines correspond respectively to the functions $x = \frac{3}{4}$ and $y = f(x)$.

4. Conclusion

By every non-identity permutation π of $(1, 2, \ldots, n)$ and $0 < t \leq \frac{n}{l(\pi)}$, where $l(\pi)$ is the length of π, we can construct an entanglement witness $W_{n,t,\pi}$ for $n \otimes n$ quantum system. $W_{n,t,\pi}$ is indecomposable if and only if $\pi^2 \neq \mathrm{id}$. Thus a class of indecomposable entanglement witnesses is obtained. Applying such witnesses, some new entangled states, bounded entangled states (that is, PPT entangled states) are found. Several examples show that the entanglement witnesses constructed in this paper can detect entanglement in some states that cannot be detected by PPT criterion, the realignment criterion and an inequality criterion stronger than the realignment criterion.

References

[1] D. Bruß, J. Math. Phys., 43 (2002), 4237.

[2] K. Chen, L. A. Wu, Quant. Inf. Comput., 3 (2003), 193-202.

[3] M.-D. Choi, Lin. Alg. Appl., 10 (1975), 285.

[4] D. Chruściński, A. Kossakowski, Open Systems and Inf. Dynamics, 14 (2007), 275.

[5] D. Chruściński, A. Kossakowski, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 41 (2008), 145301.

[6] Y. Guo, J. C. Hou, Chin. Sci. Bull., 58 (2013), 1250-1255.

[7] Y. Guo, J. C. Hou, Reports on Mathematical Physics, 72 (2013), 25-40.

[8] K.-C. Ha, S.-H. Kye, Phys. Rev. A, 86 (2012), 034301.

[9] K.-C. Ha, H. Yu, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 45 (2012), 395307.

[10] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A, 223 (1996), 1.

[11] J. Hou, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 43 (2010), 385201.

[12] J. Hou, X. Qi, Phys. Rev. A, 81 (2010), 062351.

[13] Roger A. Horn, Charles R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1985, New York.

[14] M. A. Jafarizadeh, N. Behzadi, Y. Akbari, Eur. Phys. J. D 55 (2009), 197.

[15] A. Jamiołkowski, Rep. Math. Phys., 3 (1972), 275.

[16] M. Lewensetein, B. Kraus, J.I. Cirac, P. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A, 62 (2001), 052310.

[17] M. A. Nielsen, I. L. Chuang, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[18] X. Qi, J. Hou, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 43 (2011), 385201.

[19] X. Qi, J. Hou, Phys. Rev. A, 85 (2012), 022334.

[20] J. C. Hou, Chi-Kwong Li, Yiu-Tung Poon, X. F. Qi, Nung-Sing Sze, arXiv: 1211.0386v1.

[21] G. Tóth, O. Gühne, Phys. Rev. Lett., 94 (2005), 060501.

[22] C. J. Zhang, Y. S. Zhang, S. Zhang, G. C. Guo, Phys. Rev. A, 77 (2008), 060301(R).

(Xiaofei Qi) Department of Mathematics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, P. R. China

E-mail address: `xiaofeiqisxu@aliyun.com`

(Jinchuan Hou) Department of Mathematics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan 030024, P. R. China

E-mail address: `jinchuanhou@aliyun.com`